IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

MICHAEL W. DORBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

United States of America ex rel.	CLERK, U.S. DISIRIC! COO
PEDRO ALAMILLO, B-50088	
(Full name and prison number)	08CV2056
(Include name under which convicted)	UIDCE KENDALI
PETITIONER)	JUDGE KENDALL MAG. JUDGE MASON
vs.	
MEDRA CHANDLER. WARDEN (Warden, Superintendent, or authorized person having custody of petitioner)	
RESPONDENT, and	
(Fill in the following blank only if judgment attacked imposes a sentence to commence in the future)	
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF	Case Number of State Court Conviction:
;	00 CR 26406
(State where judgment entered))
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CO 1. Name and location of court where conviction entered	
ATTORN 13 2001	
2. Date of judgment of conviction: AUGUST 13, 2001	
3. Offense(s) of which petitioner was convicted (list al	l counts with indictment numbers, if known)
(1) COUNT ATTEMPT MURDER/ (1) COUNT AGGRAY	ATED POSSSESSION OF STOLEN MOTOR VEHICLE
4. Sentence(s) imposed: CONCURRENT PRISON SEI	NTENCES OF 22 Yrs. // 6 yrs.
5. What was your plea? (Check one) (A) No	guilty ()
If you pleaded guilty to one count or indictment and	I not guilty to another count or indictment, give details:

PAR	T I	- TRI	AL AND	DIRECT REV	LEW:								
1.	Kind	l of	trial:	(check one	e):	Jury	()	Judge only	(XX)			
2.	Did	Aon .	testify	at trial	?	Yes	(XX)	No	()			
3.	Did	Уоп	appea1	from the co	onvictio	on or th	le se	ntence	implesed ?	Yes (XX)	No	()
	(A)	If y	оп арре	aled, give	the								
		(1)	Name o	of the cour	t: FIRS	T DISTR	ICT .	<u>APPELI.A</u>	TE COURT				
		(2)	Result	::	RULI	ES 23 0	RDER	<u> </u>		<u></u>			
		(3)	Date o	of ruling:	MARC	CH 31, 2	003						
		(4)	Issue	raised:	Thai	t his co	nvic	tion Bo	r the attem	pt first-	degre	<u>:e</u>	
	(4) Issue raised: That his conviction for the attempt first- degree murder of Officer Frenzel was inconsistent with his acquittal on the charge												
		of t	he atte	mpt first-	degree	murder	of O	fficer	Hansen; and	(2) that	the t	rial	
		cour	t errec	l in senten	cing hi	n to an	enha	nced se	ntence for	the attemp	t wut	der	
		of a	Peace	Officer be	сацве 7	20 ILCS	5/9-	1 (b) (1) (West 200	0) refers	to a	-	
		"mui	dered i	individual"	, and O	fficer I	renz	el vas	not killed.				
	(B)	Ιf	you did	l not appea	1, expl:	ain brie	efl y	why not	::				
				<u>-</u>									
4.	Di	d you	appeal	l, or seek	leave t	o appeal	l, to	highes	st state cou	rt ? Yes	h (XX)) No	(
	(A) If	yes, g	lye the									
		1(1)	Result	: DENIED									
		(2)	Date o	of ruling:	OCTOBE	R 6, 200	<u>)3</u>						
				raised:	-			for th	ne attempt i	irst- deg	ree		
		mui	der of	officer Fr	enzel w	as inco	asist	ent wit	th his acqui	ttal on t	he cha	arge	
				The state of the s		•			Hansen; and				
									entence for				
									1) (West 200				
									not killed.				
					1.11.001								
	(B) I:	f no, wi	hy not:									
		-		-				_					
		<u> </u>	•					-					
	5. D	id v	ou peti	tion the Un	ited St	ate Sup	reme	Court :	for a writ o	of certion	ari ?		
-		es:		No:	(XX)	-							
	T	f ve	z. olve	(A) date	of peti	tion:		(B) da	te of certic	orari was	denie	d:	

)

PART II-	COLLATERAL	PROCEEDINGS:
----------	------------	--------------

1. W1	th respect to this conviction or sentence, have you filed a post-conviction petition
1n	state court ? Yes (XX) No ()
Wi	th respect to each post-conviction petiton give the following information:
(A) Name of court: COOK COUNTY
(B) Date of filing: APRIL 9, 2004
(C) Issues Raised: (1) His Counsel failed to subject the State's case to a meaningful
	adversarial test and failed to file a motion to suppress his statement to police.
	(2) COunsel failed Ho investigate his claim that his statement Ho police was
	involuntarily due to the medicaton he received after surgery (3) Counsel stated in
	his opening statement that Alamillo's statement to police- in which Alamillo
	allegedly admitted to trying to hit officer with the car- was correct, and then
	made the inconsistent arguement that the State failed to prove Alamillo had the
	intent to hit the officers with the car and(4) Counsel stipulated to Alamillo's
	written statement to police being entered into evidence and that Alamillo was not
	under the influence of any self-induced drugs at the time of the statement.
a)) Did you receive any evidentiary hearing on your petition ? Yes () No (XX)
(E) What was the courts ruling ? <u>N/A</u>
(F	') Date of court's ruling: N/A
(G	;) Did you appeal from the ruling on your petitiont? Yes (XX) No ()
(H	() (a) If yes, (1) What was the result ? <u>DISMISSED</u>
	(2) Date of decision: <u>FEBRUARY 6, 2006</u>
	(b) If no, explain briefly why not: <u>LEAVE TO APPEAL.</u>
(I) Did you appeal, or seek leave to appeal this decision to the highest court ?
	Yes (XX) No ()
	(a) If yes, (1) What was the result ? $\underline{\text{DENIED}}$
	(2) Date of decision: <u>SEPTEMBER 27, 2006</u>
	(b) If no, explain briefly why not:

2. cor	With resp viction p	ect to this conviction or sentence rocedure, such as <i>coram nobis</i> o	e, have you filed a peti or habeas corpus?	tion in a state cour YES () NO	t using any other:	form of post-
	A. If ye	es, give the following informatio	on with respect to each	h proceeding (use s	eparate sheets if	necessary):
	1.	Nature of proceeding				
	2.	Date petition filed		.		
	3.	Ruling on the petition				
	4.	Date of ruling				
	5.	If you appealed, what was the ruling on appeal?		·····		
	6.	Date of ruling on appeal				
	7.	If there was a further appeal, what was the ruling?				
	8.	Date of ruling on appeal		····		
3.		spect to this conviction or sente YES () NO (XX)	ence, have you filed	a previous petition	for habeas corpu	ıs in federal
	A. If ye	es, give name of court, case title	and case number:			
	B. Did	the court rule on your petition?	If so, state			
	(1)	Ruling: ,	,			
	(2)	Date:				
4.	petition	spect to this conviction or sente? YES () NO xx)	ence, are there legal p	proceedings pendin	g in any court, of	ther than this
	lfyes, ex	xplain:				
					· - ···	
						·
					· · - · · - ·	

4

Revised: 7/20/05

PART III - PETITIONER'S CLAIMS

1. State <u>briefly</u> every ground on which you claim that you are being held unlawfully. Summarize <u>briefly</u> the <u>facts</u> supporting each ground. You may attach additional pages stating additional grounds and supporting facts. If you fail to set forth all grounds in this petition, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds later.

BEFORE PROCEEDING IN THE FEDERAL COURT, YOU MUST ORDINARILY FIRST EXHAUST YOUR STATE COURT REMEDIES WITH RESPECT TO EACH GROUND FOR RELIEF ASSERTED.

) His trial counsel failed to subject the State's case to a mean at and failed to file a motion to suppress his statement to pol	_	
	ice	
	<u></u>	
		<u> </u>
(B) Ground two He was depied his SIXTHE AMENDMENT RIGHT to effe		
(B) Ground two He was denied his STXTHE AMENDMENT RIGHT to eff	ctive assis	tance of
(B) Ground two He was denied his SIXTHE AMENDMENT RIGHT to effections oursel. Supporting facts: 2) Counsel failed to investigate his claim that his stitements is	ective assis	tance of t
(B) Ground two He was denied his SIXTHE AMENDMENT RIGHT to effection oursel. Supporting facts: 2) Counsel failed to investigate his claim that his stitements to the medication he received after surgery.	ective assis	tance of t
(B) Ground two He was denied his SIXTHE AMENDMENT RIGHT to efficients (Supporting facts: 2) Counsel failed to investigate his claim that his stitements to the medication he received after surgery.	ective assis	tance of t
(B) Ground two He was denied his SIXTHE AMENDMENT RIGHT to efficients (Counsel Supporting facts: 2) Counsel failed to investigate his claim that his stitements that the medication he received after surgery.	ective assis	tance of t
(B) Ground two He was denied his SIXTHE AMENDMENT RIGHT to efficients (2) Counsel failed to investigate his claim that his stitements the to the medication he received after surgery.	ective assis	tance of t
(B) Ground two He was denied his SIXTHE AMENDMENT RIGHT to effectionsel. Supporting facts: (2) Counsel failed to investigate his claim that his stitements that the medication he received after surgery.	ective assis	tance of t
(B) Ground two He was denied his SIXTHE AMENDMENT RIGHT to efficients (2) Counsel failed to investigate his claim that his stitements that the medication he received after surgery.	ective assis	tance of t
(B) Ground two He was denied his SIXTHE AMENDMENT RIGHT to efficients (2) Counsel failed to investigate his claim that his stitements that the medication he received after surgery.	ective assis	tance of t
(B) Ground two He was denied his SIXTHE AMENDMENT RIGHT to efficients (2) Counsel failed to investigate his claim that his stitements that the medication he received after surgery.	ective assis	tance of t

5

Revised: 7/20/05

Cou	(C) Ground three He was denied his SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT to effective assistance of tria
(3	Counsel stated in his opening statement that Alamillo's statement to police in which
<u>A1</u>	millo allegedly admitted to trying to hit the officer with the car- was correct,
	then made the inconsistent arguement that the State failed to prove Alamillo had
th	intent to hit the offices with the car.
Cour	(D) Ground four <u>He was denied his SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT to the effective assistance of sel.</u> Supporting facts:
<u>Côt</u>	nsel stipulated to Alamillo's written Statement to philice being intered into evidence
and	that Alamillo was not under the influence of any self-induced drugs at the time of
	that Alamillo was not under the influence of any self-induced drugs at the time of
	that Alamillo was not under the influence of any self-induced drugs at the time of
	that Alamillo was not under the influence of any self-induced drugs at the time of
	that Alamillo was not under the influence of any self-induced drugs at the time of
	that Alamillo was not under the influence of any self-induced drugs at the time of
	that Alamillo was not under the influence of any self-induced drugs at the time of
	that Alamillo was not under the influence of any self-induced drugs at the time of
	that Alamillo was not under the influence of any self-induced drugs at the time of
	that Alamillo was not under the influence of any self-induced drugs at the time of
the	that Alamillo was not under the influence of any self-induced drugs at the time of statement.
the	that Alamillo was not under the influence of any self-induced drugs at the time of statement. Have all grounds raised in this petition been presented to the highest court having jurisdiction?
2.	that Alamillo was not under the influence of any self-induced drugs at the time of statement. Have all grounds raised in this petition been presented to the highest court having jurisdiction? YES (XX) NO ()
2.	that Alamillo was not under the influence of any self-induced drugs at the time of statement. Have all grounds raised in this petition been presented to the highest court having jurisdiction? YES (XX) NO ()

6

Revised: 7/20/05

Page 7 of 15

PART IV - REPRESENTATION

Give the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attacked herein: (A) At preliminary hearing N/A (B) At arraignment and plea N/A (C) Attrial DAVID PAUL WIENER (D) At sentencing **DAVID PAUL WIENER** (E) On appeal ANDREA MONSEES (Assistant Public Defender) (F) In any post-conviction proceeding MR. BRETT C. ZEER (G) Other (state): PART V – FUTURE SENTENCE Do you have any future sentence to serve following the sentence imposed by this conviction? YES() NO (xx)Name and location of the court which imposed the sentence: COOK COUNTY Date and length of sentence to be served in the future N/A WHEREFORE, petitioner prays that the court grant petitioner all relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding. Signed on: $\frac{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 08}{\text{(Date)}}$ I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true <u>tlanillo</u> B-50088 (I.D. Number) DIXON CORRECTIONAL CENTER, 2600 N. Brinton Ave.,

(Address) DIXON, ILLINOIS 61021

State of ILLINOIS)

County of COOK)

IN THE UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT NORTHER DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

AFFIDAVIT OF PEDRO ALAMILLO

- I, PEDRO ALAMILLO, BEING FIRST DULY SWORN ON OATH, DEPOSES AND SAYS THE FOLLOWING:
 - 1. On December 13, 2007, I had written my Attorney, Mr. Brett C. Zeeb inquiring about my decision in the Illinois Supreme Court.
 - On or about December 20, 2007, I received a response from my Attorney,
 Mr. Brett C. Zeeb, informing me that the Illinois Supreme Court had denied
 my petition on September 27, 2006
 - 3. Mr. Brett C. Zeeb informed me that he had sent me a letter on October 2, 2006, with a copy of the Supreme COurt's Order denying Leave To Appeal which I never received.
 - 4. On January 30, 2008, I had wrote my Institutional Counselor asking him to please check and see if any Legal Mail was sent to me in the moth of October. See Exhibit (A)
 - 5. Soon after I received a incoming legal mail printout from my counselor at my request in order to corroborate statement 1(3) of said affidavit. See exhibit (B)
 - 6. I, Pedro Alamillo is aware that I have one year to file a Habeas Corpus Petition from the last and final ruling of the highest state court.
 - Said time frame wasn't due to my culpable neglegence of filing my Habeas Corpus Petition on time.

Date: 4-3-08

PEDRO ALAMILLO B-50088

DIXCON CORRECTIONAL CENTER

2600 N. BRINTON AVE.

DIXON, ILLINOIS 61021

STATE OF ILLINOIS)		
)	\$S	
COUNTY OF COOK	ì		

AFFIDAVIT OF BRETT C. ZEEB

Brett C. Zeeb, being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and says the following:

- 1. I represented Pedro Alamillo in case number 1-01-4021, the appeal of the summary dismissal of Mr. Alamillo's *pro se* petition for post-conviction relief. On February 6, 2006, the appellate court affirmed the dismissal of Mr. Alamillo's petition in an unpublished order.
- 2. I filed a petition for rehearing on Mr. Alamillo's behalf, which the appellate court denied on May 1, 2006.
- 3. I timely filed a petition for leave to appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court on Mr. Alamillo's behalf. The Court denied leave to appeal on September 27, 2006.
- 4. On October 2, 2006, I sent a letter to Mr. Alamillo, along with a copy of the Supreme Court's order denying leave to appeal, explaining that his petition was denied and that our office was no longer representing him. I included an information packet explaining further options Mr. Alamillo had if he wanted to continue appealing his case.
- 5. On December 13, 2007, more than a year later, I received a letter from Mr. Alamillo asking me why it was taking so long for the Illinois Supreme Court to make a decision on his case. I immediately wrote back to Mr. Alamillo and explained that the Court denied his petition on September 27, 2006, and that I had sent him a letter to that effect in October 2006.
- 6. Mr. Alamillo wrote me another letter soon after, explaining that he never received the letter and order I sent to him in October 2006. It is my belief that Mr. Alamillo did not receive my October 2006 letter.
- 7. I would be contrary to justice to deny Mr. Alamillo the right to file a petition for habeas corpus, as he was not negligent in any way in the failure to timely file the petition.

Brett C. Zeeb

A -- A A -- - II-4- I

Asst. Appcllate Defender

WBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME

NOTARY PUBLIC

Official Seaf Alicia M. Corona Notary Public State of Itlinois My Commission Expires 05/21/08

AFFIDAVIT OF AFFIRMATION UNDER	PENALTY	OF PERJURY
--------------------------------	---------	------------

I, <u>Pedro Alarillo</u>, affiant, do hereby declare and affirm pursuant to 28 UC 1746, 18 USC 1621, or 735 ILCS 5/1-109, under penalty of perjury, that everything contained herein is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. I further declare and affirm that the contents of the forgoing documents are known to me and are accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. Finally, I do declare and affirm that the matter at hand is not taken either frivolously or maliciously and that I believe the forgoing matter is taken in good faith.

Signed on this 3rd day of April 200 08

______ ∆ ffiant

DO 7177 Case 1.98 CH-07056 Docume	DEPARTMENT OF CO		X-6(A)
Pathways D.P.		DATE	30 ·08
RESIDENT PEdro Alamillo	6.50088	42	- 49
I request an interview with COMISCIOR	Comeron		the following:
	to please of	rect it	I Signed
for any (Legal Mgil) during this is urgent than	E /	1 01 (00)	ocar zoorg
	4	BANG A	0. 100
Reason for interview must be complete and cand	<u> </u>	10040	CON-USERO

Pocyment 1 EGAL Filed 04/10/2008 Page 12 of 15 EX. (B) Case 1:08-cv-02056 IL-426,812B NAME NUMBER Received From:
Shife Agroller of Received From: Date.



OFFICE OF THE STATE APPELLATE DEFENDER FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

203 NORTH LASALLE STREET 24TH FLOOR CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60601 TELEPHONE: 312/814-5472 FAX: 312/814-1447

March 7, 2008

MICHAEL J. PELLETIER
DEPUTY DEFENDER

BRETT C. ZEEB ASSISTANT APPELLATE DEFENDER Mr. Pedro Alamillo Register No. B-50088 Dixon Correctional Center 2600 North Brinton Avenue Dixon, JL 61021

Dear Mr. Alamillo:

I hope this letter finds you well. Enclosed please find one original and three copies of my affidavit for you to include with your habeas petition. Unfortunately, our office no longer has the record in your case, so I do not have a copy of the certified disposition in your case. In a previous letter, I did send you a copy of the Illinois Supreme Court's Order denying you leave to appeal. That document is the last court action in your case.

Good luck to you with the petition. Please write to me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

BRETT C. ZEEB

Assistant Appellate Defender

102620

SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS CLERK OF THE COURT SUPREME COURT BUILDING SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62701

PRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62701 (217) 782-2035

September 27, 2006

Mr. Brett C. Zeeb Assistant Appellate Defender 203 N. LaSalle St., 24th Floor Chicago, IL 60601

No. 102620 - People State of Illinois, respondent, v. Pedro Alamillo, petitioner. Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District.

The Supreme Court today DENIED the petition for leave to appeal in the above entitled cause.

The mandate of this Court will issue to the Appellate Court on November 2, 2006.

The Court also made the following announcement:

Burke, J., took no part.



DOCKETING DEPARTMENT State Appallate Defender Istostrict

IN THE

Ä	NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR _1	THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
PEDRO ALAMILLO, B50088	
Plaintiff,	
) Case No.
V,)
NEDRA CHANDLER, WARDEN)
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	,
PROOF	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
ma Glash Cur	
TO: Clerk of the Circuit Cour	
219 South Dearborn 20 th 1	FL. 100 West Randolph St 12th Fl.
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60604	Chicago, Illinois 60601
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on APRIL	
in the institutional mail at DIXON	Correctional Center, properly addressed to the parties listed above
for mailing through the United States Postal	Service: FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS PETITION
(1)Original (3)Copies enclo	sed.
Pursuant to 28 USC 1746, 18 USC 1621 or 7	35 ILCS 5/1-109, I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I am a
named party in the above action, that I have r	read the above documents, and that the information contained
therein is true and correct to the best of my k	
	0, 11, 11
DATE: April 04, 2008	1st Kledra Flamillo
	NAME: Pedro Alamillo
	IDOC#: B50088 DIXON Correctional Center
	Collection of the Collection
	P.O. BOX 2600 N. Brinton Ave. DIXON ,IL 61021
*·	<u> </u>